









98600732 GOVERNMENT DO

A 113/1316 RA 86/11

FORT POINT CHANNEL: A HYPOTHETICAL POPULATION AND HOUSING PERSPECTABLE

To have a clear definition of the master plan for Fort Point Channel, we have to define who is going to live in this area. The population projection is the result of the outlook for jobs in Boston and Downtown in the next 5-10 years, and the related "ideal population" as may be seen by us today. Having the future population profile in mind, we can detail the requirements for housing, by size and income level. Then, with a feasibility study of development cost and market (by income group), we can have a hypothetical plan goal.

Population Based on Jobs Projection for 1985-1990

Job growth in Boston and Downtown will center in services, finance and high-technology industry. The wage income, except in retail, hotel and medical assistance, would be higher than \$20,000 a year. From a projected total of 32,000 jobs gained in Downtown through 1990, 8,000 jobs (25 percent) might reflect employment of people living in Fort Point Channel. Assuming an average of 2 jobs per household would mean having 4,000 dwelling units for people living in Fort Point Channel and working in Downtown. For the years 1990-2000, with a projected increase of 33,000 jobs, and with the same proportion (25 percent) of people living in Fort Point Channel, another 4,000 dwelling units would be required. Again, 8,000 employees living in the Fort Point Channel Renewal Area would work in Downtown or nearby.

The projected composition of employment growth by industry

Downtown, between 1985-1990 and 1990-1995, is shown in the following table:

DEPARTMENT DOCUMENTS
OSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY

Table 1

COMPOSITION OF PROJECTED JOB GROWTH, BY INDUSTRY, FOR 1985-1990, 1990-1995/2000

(In Percent)

Industry	Percentage of 1985-1990	of Jobs/Skills 1990-1995	Average Annual Wage For 1985
Business Services	17%	17%	\$20,000
Professional Services	13%	19%	\$30,000-35,000
Medical Staff	8%	7%	\$14,000
Hotel	8%	8%	\$12,000
Construction	4%	1%	\$26,000
Manufacturing	11%	5%	\$25,000
Finance	32%	18%	\$24,000
Retail	2%	5%	\$11,000
Government	5%	12%	\$23,000
Education All Job Growth	0 100%	8% 100%	\$15,000

Having the monthly housing payment available for each income category, we can identify the proportion of high- and middle-income dwelling units and moderate- and low-income units. See Table 2.

In Table 3 we show the distribution of dwelling units required by wage level. Between 1985 and 1990 we would need 810 low-income units, 3,100 middle-income and 590 high-income units. Between the years 1990-1995, we would need 1,260 low-income units, 2,390 middle-income units, and 850 high-income units. (Data from "Job Outlook in Boston Area," Jeffrey Brown, BRA Research Department, October 1985 and "Boston Employment," Jeffrey Brown, April 1985.)

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2025 with funding from Boston Public Library

Table 2

INCOME LEVELS AND HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

Monthly Income Available for Housing Payment	\$415 210	750	\$833 415	1,040 520	1,170	1,250 625	1,460	1,580	1,670 835
Monthly	\$1,670	2,500	\$3,340 1,670	4,170 2,095	4,670 2,335	5,000	5,830 2,415	6,330	6,680
Total Household Income	\$20,000 10,000	30,000	\$40,000	50,000	56,000	900,000	70,000	76,000	80,000
Workers Per Household	2 1	1 2	1 2	1 2	2	. 1	2 1	2	. 7
Percent of Wage Income Used for Housing	25 25	25 25	25 25	25 25	25 25	25	25 25	25	25 25
Yearly Wage	\$10,000	15,000	\$20,000	25,000	28,000	\$30,000	35,000	38,000	40,000
Category of Income	Low		Average			High			

Table 3

HOUSEHOLDS AND DWELLINGS, BY INCOME CATEGORY, RELATED TO WAGE LEVELS

Income Category	Yearly Wage Average for 1985	Percentage and Households and 1985-1990	
Low	\$11,000-\$19,000	18%=810 units	28%=1,260 units
Middle	20,000-29,000	69%=3,100 units	53%=2,390 units
High category	30,000-more	13%=590 units	19%=850 units



Summary Findings

The preliminary data on the projection of housing requirements in the Fort Point Channel area show the need of 8,000 units to be achieved in two phases between 1985-1990 and 1990-1995/2000, categorized as follows:

Phase I 1985-1990

For two-worker households, there would be 810 low-income units, with "monthly housing payments" between \$415 and \$750; 3,100 units with "monthly housing payments" between \$833 and \$1,170; 590 units with "monthly housing payments" between \$1,250 and \$1,670.

For the same years, alternatively, with one worker for household, there would be 810 units with payments between \$210 and \$375; 3,100 units with payments between \$415 and \$585; 590 units with payments between \$625 and \$835.

Phase II 1990-1995/2000

There would be (for two-worker households) 1,260 units with payments between \$415 and \$750; 2,390 units with payments between \$833 and \$1,170; 850 units between \$1,250 and \$1,670.

For one worker per household, alternatively, there would be 1,260 units with payments between \$210 and \$375; 2,390 units with payments between \$415 and \$585, and 850 units between \$625 and \$835.

A feasibility study would be required to determine the possible future development and design plan potential in each income and housing payment category.



Future Land Use

Although the Fort Point Channel area covers about 600 acres, not all the site would change use or form. We can identify six main land uses in the future as follows:

- (a) existing structures such as the buildings on Summer and Congress Streets and the Gillette Corp.;
- (b) large open space for recreation--parks, gardens and squares; civic uses;
- (c) large areas of residential use with various types of buildings;
- (d) large areas of mixed use residential/commercial, with various proportions between them;
- (e) large areas of commercial use such as retail, office, light/high tech industry, entertainment; and
- (f) vacant areas for future development.

In housing we identify three types of residential use. The first consists of 2,000 units with a density of 50 units/acre, and an FAR of 1. The second consists of 3,500 units, 100 units/acre and an FAR of 2.5. The third, in mixed use, consists of utilizing existing buildings, the Boston Wharf and CC&F areas, the high tech areas, the commercial areas and other smaller subareas of mixed use, totaling 2,500 units. The proportion of residential/commercial will be 1:3 in the areas of Boston Wharf and CC&F, and 1:4 in the high tech subareas.

The commercial space is identified as follows:



- continued use of existing buildings;
- mixed commerical/residential with a ratio of 1:3 in the subareas of Boston Wharf and CC&F;
- mixed commerical/residential use with a ratio of 1:4 in the subareas of high tech and office space;
- the SPINE ("New Commercial Avenue") areas of commercial use only, as a continuous fabric of the existing buildings and Congress and Summer Streets

A total of 7,500,000 square footage of new commerical space--retail, office, light and high technology industries is proposed for Fort Point Channel. See Table 4.



Table 4

RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED IN THE FORT POINT CHANNEL AREA

Use	Residential Use In Units	Commercial Development In Square Footage		
Type and Subareas	(Number)			
"South Boston Type"	2,000 F.A.R.=1 18 blocks 50 D.U./acre			
"Court-Block Type"	3,500 F.A.R.=2.5 14 blocks 100 D.U./acre			
Mixed Use Areas		*		
C. C, & F. + Boston Wharf	500 F.A.R.=6 4 blocks Ratio R/C=1/3	1,500,000		
		Ratio C/R=3/1		
Existing Buildings	300			
"Technopolis"	1,400 .	2,000,000		
	F.A.R.=8 10 blocks Ratio R/C=1/4	Ratio C/R=4/1		
"Channel Area"	300			
"Spine" New Commercial Avenue		4,000,000		
Total	8,000 dwelling units	7,500,000 sq. feet		



Table 5
SUMMARY HOUSING TABLE

Type of Housing	Low/Moderate Income	Average Income	High Income			
Years 1985-1990	810	3,100	590			
1990-2000	1,260	2,390	850			
Total	2,070	5,490	1,340			
8,000 Dwelling Units						
Type of Use	South Boston Type	Apartment Type	Mixed Use Commercial/Residential			
Total 8,000	2,000 dwelling units	3,500 dwelling units	2,500 dwelling units			

Jacob Schaffer Research Department November 1985









